

University of Southern Queensland



# **Quantifying the Properties of a Dosimeter Responsive to Blue Light Hazard Effective Wavelengths**

A dissertation submitted by

Om Shanaf Abudabous

For the award of

Master of Science (Research)

2014

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to express my special thanks of gratitude to my supervisor Professor Alfio Parisi, who gave me the golden opportunity to do this wonderful project on the topic “Quantifying the Properties of a Dosimeter Responsive to Blue Light Hazard Effective Wavelengths”, which also helped me in doing a lot of research and I came to know about so many new things. I am really thankful to my husband and my kids who have supported me a lot to finalize this work within the time. I would also like to thank my family and friends who have always paid attention about what I did in my project, and morally help has also been given. I would like to thank the Physical Sciences staff of the University of Southern Queensland for their support over the course of this program. Finally, I am doing this project not only for marks but to also increase my knowledge.

## **Certification of Dissertation**

I certify that the ideas, experimental work, results, analyses, software and conclusions reported in this dissertation are my own effort, except where otherwise acknowledged. I also certify that work is original and has not been previously submitted for any other award, except where otherwise acknowledged.

---

Signature of Candidate

---

Date

### **ENDORSEMENT**

---

Signature of Supervisor

---

Date

## ABSTRACT

The research reported in this dissertation characterizes the properties of a proposed blue light dosimeter suitable for measuring the ocular blue light hazard. Blue light of high intensity with shorter wavelengths (400-500 nm) has been shown to produce an adverse effect on the healthy human eye, and the hypothesis proposed is that a dosimeter based on polysulphone and phenothiazine has the properties to act as a dosimeter to measure exposure to the blue light hazard. Exposure to the shorter wavelengths of blue light is measured by weighting the spectral irradiance of a light source against the blue light hazard action spectrum, and the dosimeter employed in this study uses a long pass filter to remove ultraviolet wavelengths shorter than 380 nm. An examination of the polysulphone and phenothiazine dosimeters was completed for the change in absorbance, dose response, the dark reaction, repeatability of measurement, the influence of the angle of the receiving plane, and stability against changes in irradiation and temperature. The results show that a change in the dosimeter optical transmission occurs as a result of exposure to blue light, with maximum dosimeter response after exposure to wavelengths around 420 nm. The polysulphone and phenothiazine dosimeters were exposed to four light sources and dose response calibration curves established that relate blue light exposure to the change in absorbance. The effect of the angle of the receiving plane was found to be approximated by a cosine function for angles up to 70° from the normal, with the difference between measured and theoretical values in this angle range being less than about 0.2. Dosimeter response to repeated solar radiation exposure was found to be reproducible with a standard error in the  $\Delta A$  of 0.005. The dark reaction of the dosimeter

in terms of the average change in absorbance in darkness was also found to be small, with a change of 0.056 at zero hours being 0.058 after 24 hours and 0.067 after one week. Dosimeter rate variations with irradiance variations between 10 W/m<sup>2</sup> and 15 W/m<sup>2</sup> were found to be only  $\pm 10\%$ , and thus within the measurement error of the badges. Dosimeter responses were also found to be stable for temperatures ranging from 23 °C to 37 °C. Taken together, all these results lead to the conclusion that polysulphone and phenothiazine dosimeters have characteristics that enable their widespread use for rapid, convenient and low-cost assessment of the blue light hazard.

# Table of Contents

Acknowledgments.....	i
Certification of Dissertation.....	ii
Abstract.....	iii
List of figures.....	vii
List of tables.....	viii
1. CHAPTER ONE .....	1
1.1 Introduction .....	1
2. CHAPTER TWO .....	3
2.1 Literature Review and Scope of the Project .....	3
2.2 Electromagnetic Radiation and Eye Damage .....	3
2.2.1 Electromagnetic Radiation .....	3
2.3 Eye Damage.....	4
2.4 Action Spectrum.....	6
2.5 Blue Light Hazard .....	8
2.6 Measurement Techniques.....	10
2.7 Research Project .....	14
2.7.1 Research Hypothesis .....	14
2.7.2 Research Objectives .....	14
3. CHAPTER THREE .....	15
3.1 Methodology.....	15
3.1.1 Equipment and Materials: .....	15
3.1.2 Dosimeters .....	15
3.2 Blue Light Hazard Exposure.....	16
3.3 Making Dosimeters .....	17
3.4 Change in Absorbance .....	18
3.5 Dose response.....	19
3.6 Influence of the Angle of the Receiving Plane .....	30

3.7	Dose Rate Independence: .....	31
3.8	Reproducibility .....	34
3.8.1	Dark reaction.....	34
3.9	Temperature Independence: .....	35
4.	CHAPTER FOUR .....	36
4.1	Results.....	36
4.1.1	Change in Absorbance .....	36
4.1.2	Dose Response .....	38
4.1.3	Influence of the Angle of the Receiving Plane .....	42
4.1.4	Dose Rate Independence .....	43
4.1.5	Dark Reaction .....	44
4.1.6	Temperature Independence .....	46
5.	CHAPTER FIVE.....	47
5.1	Discussion.....	47
5.1.1	Change in Absorbance .....	47
5.1.2	Dose Response .....	47
5.1.3	Influence of the Angle of the Receiving Plane .....	49
5.1.4	Dose Rate Independence .....	49
5.1.5	Dark reaction.....	50
5.1.6	Temperature Independence .....	51
5.2	Significance .....	52
5.3	Future Directions .....	52
6.	CHAPTER SIX.....	54
6.1	Conclusion.....	54
7.	REFERENCES .....	56
8.	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS .....	58

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 Blue light hazard action spectrum (ICNIRP, 1997).....	8
Figure 2 Dosimeter with polysulphone and phenothiazine films and a Llummar filter.....	17
Figure 3 LED light exposure for eight dosimeters to determine the dose response.....	20
Figure 4 The spectral irradiance for the LED light .....	21
Figure 5 Exposing the dosimeters for the dose response compact fluorescent lamp (15 W, 5000 K).....	22
Figure 6 The spectral irradiance for a compact fluorescent lamp .....	23
Figure 7 The spectral irradiance for the solar simulator .....	25
Figure 8 A fluorescent light exposing ten dosimeters at 10 cm .....	27
Figure 9 The spectral irradiance for the fluorescent light.....	28
Figure 10 A solar simulator exposing dosimeters at different angles.....	30
Figure 11 The fluorescent tube exposing dosimeters at different distances of 5 cm, 10 cm, 15 cm and 20 cm .....	32
Figure 12 The spectral irradiances of the fluorescent lamp at distances of 5 cm, 10 cm, 15 cm and 20 cm. ....	33
Figure 13 The pre exposure spectral transmission averaged over ten dosimeters (top curve) and the average post exposure (bottom curve) spectral transmission following exposure to sunlight. ....	36
Figure 14 The spectral transmission averaged over twenty dosimeters for pre (top curve) and post exposure (bottom curve) to a lamp.....	37
Figure 15 The blue light hazard exposure dose response for the LED source .....	38
Figure 16 The blue light hazard for the exposure dose response for the compact fluorescent source .....	39
Figure 17 Dose response for the solar simulator lamp .....	40
Figure 18 The dose response curve for the fluorescent tube light. ....	41
Figure 19 The response to a collimated beam incident at a plane inclined for the following angles: 10°, 20°, 30°, 40°, 50°, 60° and 70°.....	42
Figure 20 The change in absorbance at different irradiances at different distances of 5 cm, 10 cm, 15 cm and 20 cm.....	43
Figure 21 The dark reaction of the blue light dosimeters at periods of zero hours, one day and seven days .....	45
Figure 22 The change in absorbance for temperatures between 20° and 40° with the average for each dosimeter.....	46



## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 Sunlight exposure data collection dates and times .....	18
Table 2 Lamp exposure data collection dates and times .....	19
Table 3 Exposure periods for the dose response for six dosimeters to the LED light .....	21
Table 4 Exposure periods for the dose response for dosimeters 71-80 from the compact fluorescent lamp.....	24
Table 5 Exposure periods for the dose response from the solar simulator .....	26
Table 6 Exposure periods for the dose response for the fluorescent light .....	29
Table 7 Different angles with different dosimeters data collection .....	31
Table 8 The exposure times and irradiances for the dose rate independence .....	33
Table 9 Data collection with different temperatures.....	35
Table 10 Dark reaction (zero hours, one day and seven days) .....	44